

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/609,475	07/01/2003	Akio Sugimoto	KOBE.0052	KOBE.0052 1029	
38327	7590 04/13/2006		EXAMINER		
REED SMI		VO, HAI			
	TEW PARK DRIVE, SU JRCH, VA 22042	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,		1771	1771	
			DATE MAILED: 04/13/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/609,475	SUGIMOTO ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Hai Vo	1771		

•							
	Hai Vo	1771					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>03/30/2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLI	CATION IN CONDITION FOR ALL	OWANCE.					
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
	a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN							
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
NOTICE OF APPEAL	diaman with 27 CED 44 27 must be	filed within two month	a of the data of				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	acausa acausa				
 (a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further co 			ccause				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	50.0.17,					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.11		mnliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	•	impliant Amondment	(1 TOL 024).				
6. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
non-allowable claim(s).	nowable if subtritted in a separate,	unicly med amending	in canceling the				
7. To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro		ll be entered and an e	explanation of				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	e a		•				
Claim(s) allowed Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-18 and 23-32</u> .	•						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>19-22</u> .							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affiday	rit or other evidence is	s necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.				
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet. 	at does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).							
13. Other:							
No. of the second secon							
• .							

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the hard metal plate and the foamable resin heated to a foamed state having a thickness that enhances a rigidity of at least the shaped hard plate raise new issues that would require further consideration and search.

Hai Vo

HAIVO PRIMARY EXAMINER